



COGENCY
Journal of Reasoning and Argumentation

Centre for the study of Argumentation and Reasoning
Faculty of Psychology
Diego Portales University
Santiago
Chile

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

(Adapted from: www.elsevier.com/wps/find/editorshome.editors/conflictinterest)

DISCLOSURE POLICY

Disclosure Statement for Authors

Under a subheading “Disclosure Statement”, all authors must disclose any actual or potential conflict of interest including any financial, personal or other relationships with other people or organizations within three (3) years of beginning the work submitted that could inappropriately influence (bias) their work. This may be included at the end of the text. Examples of potential conflicts of interest which should be disclosed include employment, consultancies, stock ownership, honoraria, paid expert testimony, patent applications/registrations, and grants or other funding. Potential conflicts of interest should be disclosed at the earliest possible stage.

Conflict of Interest

A conflict of interest may exist when an author or the author's institution has a financial or other relationship with other people or organizations that may inappropriately influence the author's work. A conflict can be actual or potential and full disclosure to the Journal is the safest course. All submissions to the Journal must include disclosure of all relationships that could be viewed as presenting a potential conflict of interest. The Journal may use such information as a basis for editorial decisions and may publish such disclosures if they are believed to be important to readers in judging the manuscript. A decision may be made by the Journal not to publish on the basis of the declared conflict.

Role of the Funding Source

If funding has been provided, all sources of funding must be declared. This declaration (with the heading 'Role of the funding source') should be made in a separate section of the text and placed before the References. Authors must describe the role of the study sponsor(s), if any, in study design; in the collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; in the writing of the report; and in the decision to submit the paper for publication.

ETHICAL STATEMENT

By submitting a manuscript to this journal, each author explicitly confirms that the manuscript meets the highest ethical standards from the authors and its' coauthors including proper statistical investigations and thorough ethical reviews by the data owning organizations.

Research Results

The results of research must be properly collated, collected through primary, secondary or tertiary data analysis, meticulously recorded and maintained in a flow permitting validation and reanalysis by authors and coauthors. Exceptions may be appropriate in certain circumstances to preserve privacy and ownerships. Theft of data, theft of research findings other from one's own, plagiarism and fabrication of data are an unacceptable departure from the norms of scientific conduct of this journal. Selective highlighting, dissemination of certain data or reporting of specific data with the intent to mislead or improper message are condoned and discouraged. Report by any third party or authors, coauthors, collaborators that may be dissatisfied with the publication process and results, may ask the original authors to defend their data submission, data analysis, research findings and discussions. Error on behalf of the authors if identified must be promptly corrected by the authors up to the extent of retracting the paper. Submission of the same manuscript to more than one journal concurrently is ethically unacceptable.

Authorship and Publication Responsibilities

The authors' obligations are to present an accurate, of sound and ethical methodological process, robust data analysis on the research already conducted. It will be the authors responsibility to request permission to use any previously published materials from its' original publisher with proper referrals and credits given to the original publisher. This proof of permission process must be acknowledged in the manuscript. Tools, confidential interviews or questionnaires from their original authors must also be obtained prior using those similar tools in authors manuscript. Authorship must be limited to those who have made a significant contribution to the research study either in the form of conceptual help, methodological advices, data/statistical analysis, collaborators who presented the paper in scientific meetings or assisted in the editing process. Other individuals who have contributed to the study are encouraged to be acknowledged, but not identified as authors. Sources of financial support for the project and ethical approving institutions must be disclosed.

Peer Review Process by Reviewers

Review by independent reviewers provide advice to the editors concerning the publication of research and their results. Reviewers must disclose conflicts of interest resulting from direct competitive, collaborative, or other relationships with any of the authors, and avoid cases in which such conflicts leads to a judgmental and an objective evaluation of a manuscript. Reviewers will independently judge the quality of the research manuscript. There is no place where by personal attacks or personal criticisms allowed. Reviewers must explain and support their comments in a method, that editors and authors may clearly understand and positively embrace their comments. Reviewers must treat any manuscript as independent work of authors that must be kept as a private and confidential document. Any unpublished information, arguments, or interpretations contained in a manuscript under consideration, must be with manuscript authors' consents. Any statement that an observation, derivation, or argument had been previously reported should be accompanied by the relevant credits and cited. A reviewer can attract the editor's attention any significant similarity between the considered manuscript and any published paper or manuscript submitted concurrently to other journal/journals.

Editorial Responsibilities

The editor of the journal has complete responsibility and authority to accept a paper for publication or to reject it based on the expertise and experience of editors, and the review of the reviewers. Situations that may lead to real or perceived conflicts of interest will be avoided in the journal best interest. The editor gives prompt and unbiased consideration to all manuscripts offered for publication, judging each on its quality and merits. This is independent and regardless to gender, religious belief, ethnic, nationality, work organisations or political inclination of the authors, and respecting the intellectual independence of the authors. The editors do not disclose any information about a manuscript under consideration to others than the reviewers. The convincing evidence that the manuscript or conclusions of a published paper are erroneous will encourage the editors to publish a correction or the whole paper retraction. The editors have the discrete authority to the same authors to a more strict scrutiny of future manuscripts or withholding the possibility of further publication in this journal for the next period of two years.